

RESEARCH

Open Access



Construction of measures of noncompactness of $DC^n[J, E]$ and $C_0^n[J, E]$ with application to the solvability of n th-order integro-differential equations in Banach spaces

Reza Allahyari¹, Reza Arab^{2*} and Ali Shole Haghighi²

*Correspondence:

mathreza.arab@iausari.ac.ir

²Department of Mathematics, Sari Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sari, Iran

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

In the present paper, we first investigate the construction of compact sets of $DC^n[J, E]$ and $C_0^n[J, E]$, and then we introduce new measures of noncompactness on these spaces. In addition, as an application, we discuss the existence of solutions of initial value problems for n th-order nonlinear integro-differential equations of mixed type on an infinite interval in Banach spaces. We will also state an interesting example which shows that our results can apply for solving infinite systems of integro-differential equations.

MSC: 45J05; 47H08; 47H10

Keywords: measure of noncompactness; Darbo fixed point theorem; Arzelà-Ascoli theorem; n th-order integro-differential equations

1 Introduction

The integro-differential equation (IDE) can be considered in different branches of sciences and engineering. It is connected naturally with a variety of models obtained from biological science, applied mathematics, physics, and other disciplines, such as theory of elasticity, biomechanics, electromagnetic, electrodynamics, fluid dynamics, heat and mass transfer, oscillating magnetic fields, *etc.* [1–4]. In recent years, several authors have studied different techniques such as the mixed monotone iterative method [5–7], the numerical methods [8–10] and the variational iteration method [11, 12] for solving initial value problems (IVP) of nonlinear integro-differential equations.

On the other hand, measures of noncompactness are very useful tools in functional analysis, for instance in metric fixed point theory and in the theory of operator equations in Banach spaces. They are also used in the studies of functional equations, ordinary and partial differential equations, integral and integro-differential equations, fractional partial differential equations, and optimal control theory (see [3, 13–23]).

Now, in this paper, we shall investigate the existence of solutions of an IVP for n th-order nonlinear integro-differential equations of mixed type on an infinite interval in E by a new

measure of noncompactness. Please notice that our new measure of noncompactness is not necessarily equal to zero on the family of all relatively compact sets and is also very fruitful in applications. In this situation, new spaces $DC^n[J, E]$ and $C_0^n[J, E]$ are introduced and it is verified that the corresponding operators are completely continuous (*i.e.*, continuous and compact). Consider the IVP for the n th-order nonlinear integro-differential equation of mixed type,

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(n)}(t) = & f\left(t, u(\xi(t)), u'(\xi(t)), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(\xi(t)), \right. \\ & \int_0^\infty k_1(t, s)h(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s)) ds, \\ & \left. \int_0^{\beta(t)} k_2(t, s)g(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s)) ds\right), \\ u(0) = & u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \quad \dots, \quad u^{(n-1)}(0) = u_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

We are going to show that the above functional integro-differential equation has at least one solution in the space $DC^n[J, E]$ where $J = [0, \infty)$ and E is a Banach space. Also notice that the results of this paper extend those obtained in [5, 7, 24, 25].

Throughout this paper, we assume some basic facts concerning measures of noncompactness in [17]. Denote by \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers and put $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space with zero element 0. Let $\overline{B}(x, r)$ denote the closed ball centered at x with radius r . The symbol \overline{B}_r stands for the ball $\overline{B}(0, r)$. For X , a nonempty subset of E , we denote by \overline{X} and $\text{Conv } X$ the closure and the closed convex hull of X , respectively. Moreover, let us denote by \mathfrak{M}_E the family of nonempty bounded subsets of E and by \mathfrak{N}_E its subfamily consisting of all relatively compact sets.

Definition 1.1 [17] A mapping $\mu : \mathfrak{M}_E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be a measure of noncompactness in E if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1° The family $\ker \mu = \{X \in \mathfrak{M}_E : \mu(X) = 0\}$ is nonempty and $\ker \mu \subset \mathfrak{N}_E$.
- 2° $X \subset Y \implies \mu(X) \leq \mu(Y)$.
- 3° $\mu(\overline{X}) = \mu(X)$.
- 4° $\mu(\text{Conv } X) = \mu(X)$.
- 5° $\mu(\lambda X + (1 - \lambda)Y) \leq \lambda \mu(X) + (1 - \lambda)\mu(Y)$ for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.
- 6° If $\{X_n\}$ is a sequence of closed sets from \mathfrak{M}_E such that $X_{n+1} \subset X_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X_n) = 0$ then $X_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty X_n \neq \emptyset$.

We recall that a measure of noncompactness is regular [17] if it additionally satisfies the following conditions:

- 7° $\mu(X \cup Y) = \max\{\mu(X), \mu(Y)\}$.
- 8° $\mu(X + Y) \leq \mu(X) + \mu(Y)$.
- 9° $\mu(\lambda X) = |\lambda| \mu(X)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 10° $\ker \mu = \mathfrak{N}_E$.

It is worth mentioning that the Kuratowski and Hausdorff measures of noncompactness, two important ones, have all the properties above.

The following theorem, Darbo's fixed point theorem, will be needed in Section 3.

Theorem 1.1 [17] *Let Ω be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space E and also let $F : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a continuous mapping such that there exists a constant $k \in [0, 1)$ with the property*

$$\mu(FX) \leq k\mu(X) \quad (1.2)$$

for any nonempty subset X of Ω . Then F has a fixed point in the set Ω .

2 Main results

In this section, we first introduce the Banach spaces $DC^n[J, E]$ and $C_0^n[J, E]$. Then we characterize the compact subsets of $DC^n[J, E]$ and $C_0^n[J, E]$. Also, we introduce a new measure of noncompactness on $DC^n[J, E]$ and $C_0^n[J, E]$.

Let $BC[J, E] = \{u \in C[J, E] : e^{-t}\|u(t)\|_E \text{ bounded for all } t \geq 0\}$ and

$$DC^n[J, E] = \{u \in C^n[J, E] : e^{-t}\|u^{(i)}(t)\| \text{ bounded for all } t \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

where $u^{(0)}(t) = u(t)$. It is easy to see that $BC[J, E]$ is a Banach space with norm

$$\|u\|_B = \sup_{t \in J} \|u(t)\|_E,$$

and $DC^n[J, E]$ is a Banach space with norm

$$\|u\|_D = \max\{\|u\|_B, \|u'\|_B, \|u''\|_B, \dots, \|u^{(n)}\|_B\}.$$

If we define $C_0[J, E] = \{u \in C[J, E] : e^{-t}\|u(t)\|_E \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty\}$ and

$$C_0^n[J, E] = \{u \in C^n[J, E] : e^{-t}\|u^{(i)}(t)\|_E \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

then $C_0[J, E]$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_B$ is a Banach subspace of $BC[J, E]$ and $C_0^n[J, E]$ with $\|\cdot\|_D$ is a Banach subspace of $DC^n[J, E]$. Now, we need to characterize the compact subsets of $DC^n[J, E]$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let E be a Banach space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and \mathcal{B} be a bounded set in $DC^n[J, E]$. Then \mathcal{B} is relatively compact if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}|_{[0, T]}$ are equicontinuous on $[0, T]$ for any $T > 0$ where $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}|_{[0, T]}$ denotes the restrictions to $[0, T]$ of the functions $\mathcal{B}^{(k)} = \{u^{(k)} : u \in \mathcal{B}\}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}(t) = \{u^{(k)}(t) : u \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a relatively compact subset of E for all $t \in J$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.
- (iii) For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$e^{-t}\|u^{(k)}(t)\|_E \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $t > T$, $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, and $u \in \mathcal{B}$.

The proof relies on the following useful observation.

Lemma 2.2 [26] *Let X be a metric space. Assume that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist some $\delta > 0$, a metric space W , and a mapping $\Phi : X \rightarrow W$ so that $\Phi[X]$ is totally bounded,*

and whenever $x, y \in X$ are such that $d(\Phi(x), \Phi(y)) < \delta$, then $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$. Then X is totally bounded.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From condition (iii) of Theorem 2.1, for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$e^{-t} \|u^{(k)}(t)\|_E \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $t > T$, $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $u \in \mathcal{B}$. Using the equicontinuity of $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}|_{[0, T]}$, we can find a finite set of points $s_1, \dots, s_m \in [0, T]$ with neighborhoods I_1, \dots, I_m covering all of $[0, T]$ so that

$$\|u^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(s_j)\|_E < \varepsilon,$$

whenever $u \in \mathcal{B}$, $t \in I_j$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Define $\Phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow E^{m(n+1)}$ by

$$\Phi(u) = (u(s_1), \dots, u(s_m), u'(s_1), \dots, u'(s_m), \dots, u^{(n)}(s_1), \dots, u^{(n)}(s_m)).$$

By the boundedness of $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, the image $\Phi[\mathcal{B}]$ is bounded and $\Phi[\mathcal{B}] \subseteq \prod_{k=0}^n \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{B}^{(k)}(s_i)$. Since $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}(s_i)$ is relatively compact for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\Phi[\mathcal{B}]$ is totally bounded in $E^{m(n+1)}$. Furthermore, if $u, f \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\|\Phi(u) - \Phi(f)\|_{E^{m(n+1)}} < \varepsilon$, then since any $t \in [0, T]$ belongs to some I_j , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-t} u^{(k)}(t) - e^{-t} f^{(k)}(t)\|_E &\leq \|u^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(s_j)\|_E + \|u^{(k)}(s_j) - f^{(k)}(s_j)\|_E \\ &\quad + \|f^{(k)}(s_j) - f^{(k)}(t)\|_E \\ &< 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand, for any $t \in [T, \infty)$, we have

$$\|e^{-t} u^{(k)}(t) - e^{-t} f^{(k)}(t)\|_E \leq \|e^{-t} u^{(k)}(t)\|_E + \|e^{-t} f^{(k)}(t)\|_E \leq 2\varepsilon. \tag{2.2}$$

So from (2.1) and (2.2), we get $\|u - f\|_D \leq 3\varepsilon$. Now by Lemma 2.2, \mathcal{B} is totally bounded. \square

The next result is also a consequence of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathcal{B} be a bounded set in $C_0^n[J, E]$. Then \mathcal{B} is totally bounded in $C_0^n[J, E]$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}|_{[0, T]}$ are equicontinuous on $[0, T]$ for any $T > 0$, where $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}|_{[0, T]}$ denotes the restrictions to $[0, T]$ of the functions $\mathcal{B}^{(k)} = \{u^{(k)} : u \in \mathcal{B}\}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}(t) = \{u^{(k)}(t) : u \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is a relatively compact subset of E for all $t \in J$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.
- (iii) For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$e^{-t} \|u^{(k)}(t)\|_E \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $t > T$, $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $u \in \mathcal{B}$.

Proof Assume that \mathcal{B} satisfies conditions (i)-(iii). Since $C_0^n[J, E]$ is a subspace of $DC^n[J, E]$, \mathcal{B} is totally bounded.

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{B} is totally bounded. Let us fix arbitrarily $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $T > 0$. To prove the equicontinuity of $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}|_{[0, T]}$, let $t \in [0, T]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Pick an ε -cover $\{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ of \mathcal{B} , and choose $g_j \in U_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Pick a neighborhood I_j of t , so that

$$\|g_j^{(k)}(t) - g_j^{(k)}(s)\|_E < \varepsilon,$$

whenever $s \in I_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $I = I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m$. If $u \in U_j$ then $\|u - g_j\|_D < \varepsilon$, and so when $s \in I$,

$$\|u^{(k)}(s) - u^{(k)}(t)\|_E \leq \|u^{(k)}(s) - g_j^{(k)}(s)\|_E + \|g_j^{(k)}(s) - g_j^{(k)}(t)\|_E + \|g_j^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(t)\|_E < 3\varepsilon.$$

Now, since $[0, T]$ is compact, we have the equicontinuity of $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}|_{[0, T]}$.

Next, we show that (ii) holds. Let $t \in J$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ be given. We define the function $P_k : DC^{(n-1)}[J, E] \rightarrow E$ by $P_k(u) = u^{(k)}(t)$. Since P_k are continuous for all $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and \mathcal{B} is compact subset of $DC^n[J, E]$, so $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}(t)$ is compact.

Finally, take an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, there exist $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m \overline{B}(u_i, \varepsilon)$. Since $u_i \in C_0^k[J, E]$, there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\|u_i^{(k)}(t)\|_E < \varepsilon$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $t > T$. Hence for each $u \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists an $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that u belongs to $\overline{B}(u_i, \varepsilon)$, and therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(k)}(t)\|_E &\leq \|u^{(k)}(t) - u_i^{(k)}(t)\|_E + \|u_i^{(k)}(t)\|_E \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > T$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$, and consequently conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied. \square

Now, we are ready to define a new measure of noncompactness on $DC^n[J, E]$.

Let E be a Banach space, μ be a measure of noncompactness on E , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and \mathcal{B} be a bounded set in $DC^n[J, E]$. For $u \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let us denote

$$\omega^T(u, \varepsilon) = \sup\{\|u^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(s)\|_E : t, s \in [0, T], |t - s| < \varepsilon, 0 \leq k \leq n\},$$

$$\omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon) = \sup\{\omega^T(u, \varepsilon) : u \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

$$\omega^T(\mathcal{B}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon),$$

$$\omega(\mathcal{B}) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \omega^T(\mathcal{B}),$$

and

$$W(\mathcal{B}) = \sup\{\mu(\mathcal{B}^{(k)}(t)) : t \in J, 0 \leq k \leq n\},$$

$$\delta(\mathcal{B}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{e^{-t} \|u^{(k)}(t)\|_E : u \in \mathcal{B}, 0 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

Moreover, let us put

$$\omega_0(\mathcal{B}) = \omega(\mathcal{B}) + d(\mathcal{B}) + W(\mathcal{B}).$$

Theorem 2.4 *The function ω_0 , where $\omega_0 : \mathfrak{M}_{DC^n[J,E]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is a measure of noncompactness on $DC^n[J,E]$.*

Proof First we show 1° holds. To do this, take $\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{M}_{DC^n[J,E]}$ such that $\omega_0(\mathcal{B}) = 0$. Let $\eta > 0$ be arbitrary, since $\omega_0(\mathcal{B}) = 0$,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Thus, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $T' > 0$ such that $\omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \delta) < \eta$ for all $T > T'$. This implies that

$$\|u^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(s)\|_E < \eta$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{B}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $t, s \in [0, T]$ such that $|t - s| < \delta$. Then $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}_{|[0,T]}$ is bounded and equicontinuous for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $T > T'$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}_{|[0,T']}$ is bounded and equicontinuous for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, then $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}_{|[0,T]}$ is bounded and equicontinuous for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $T < T'$. Using again the fact that $\omega_0(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ we have $d(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ and $W(\mathcal{B}) = 0$. Hence the condition (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 2.1 is valid and we conclude that 1° holds.

2° follows directly from the definition ω_0 .

Next, we show that 3° holds. Suppose that $\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{M}_{DC^n[J,E]}$ and $\{u_m\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $u_m \rightarrow u \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}$ in $DC^n[J,E]$. By the definition of $\omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon)$ we have

$$\|u_m^{(k)}(t) - u_m^{(k)}(s)\|_E \leq \omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $t, s \in [0, T]$ with $|t - s| < \varepsilon$. Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|u^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(s)\|_E \leq \omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon)$$

for any $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $t, s \in [0, T]$ with $|t - s| < \varepsilon$, and hence

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega^T(\overline{\mathcal{B}}, \varepsilon) \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon).$$

This implies that $\omega(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) \leq \omega(\mathcal{B})$ and by means of 2° , we obtain

$$\omega(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) = \omega(\mathcal{B}). \quad (2.3)$$

Also, since

$$\sup\{e^{-t} \|u^k(t)\|_E : u \in \mathcal{B}, 0 \leq k \leq n\} = \sup\{e^{-t} \|u^k(t)\|_E : u \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}, 0 \leq k \leq n\}$$

and

$$\sup\{\mu(\mathcal{B}^{(k)}(t)) : t \in [0, T], 0 \leq k \leq n\} = \sup\{\mu(\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{(k)}(t)) : t \in [0, T], 0 \leq k \leq n\},$$

we have $d(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) = d(\mathcal{B})$, $W(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) = W(\mathcal{B})$, and from (2.3) we get $\omega_0(\overline{\mathcal{B}}) = \omega_0(\mathcal{B})$. Hence ω_0 satisfies condition 3° of Definition 1.1.

Condition 4° follows directly from $[\text{Conv}(\mathcal{B})]^{(k)} = \text{Conv}(\mathcal{B}^{(k)})$ and is left to the reader.

The proof of condition 5° can be carried out by using the equality

$$(\lambda f + (1 - \lambda)g)^{(k)} = \lambda f^{(k)} + (1 - \lambda)g^{(k)}$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

It remains to prove 6°, suppose that $\{\mathcal{B}_m\}$ is a sequence of closed and nonempty sets of $\mathfrak{M}_{DC^n[J,E]}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{m+1} \subset \mathcal{B}_m$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \omega_0(\mathcal{B}_m) = 0$. Now for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, take $u_m \in \mathcal{B}_m$. Suppose that A is a countable dense subset of J .

Claim 1 $\{u_m\}$ has a subsequence $\{u_{m_k}\}$ such that $u_{m_k}^{(k)}(t)$ converges for every $t \in A$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Let $\{t_i\}$ be the points of A , arranged in the sequence. Since $\omega_0(\mathcal{B}_m) \rightarrow 0$, $\mu(\mathcal{B}_m^{(k)}(t)) \rightarrow 0$ and there exists a subsequence, which we denote by $\{u_{1,i}\}$ such that $\{u_{1,i}^{(k)}\}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) converges, as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let us now consider the sequence S_1, S_2, \dots , which we represent by the array

$$\begin{array}{llll} S_1: & u_{1,1}, & u_{1,2}, & u_{1,3}, \dots \\ S_2: & u_{2,1}, & u_{2,2}, & u_{2,3}, \dots \\ S_3: & u_{3,1}, & u_{3,2}, & u_{3,3}, \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array}$$

such that S_j is a subsequence of S_{j-1} for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $u_{j,i}^{(k)}(t_j)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) converges, as $i \rightarrow \infty$. We now go down the diagonal of the array, i.e., we consider the sequence

$$S: u_{1,1}, u_{2,2}, u_{3,3}, \dots$$

Hence $u_{m,m}^{(k)}(t)$ converges for every $t \in A$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that A be a countable dense subset of J and $\{u_n(t)\}$ converges for every $t \in A$.

Claim 2 $\{u_m\}$ has a subsequence $\{u_{m_k}\}$ and there exists a $u_0 \in DC^n[J, E]$ such that $u_{m_k} \rightarrow u_0$ in $DC^n[J, E]$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed, since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \omega_0(\mathcal{B}_m) = 0$, there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega_0(\mathcal{B}_{N_1}) < \varepsilon$. Hence, we can find $T > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\omega^T(\mathcal{B}_{N_1}, \delta) < \varepsilon \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$e^{-t} \|u^{(k)}(t)\|_E < \varepsilon \tag{2.5}$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{B}_{N_1}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and $t \in J$ with $t > T$. Also, we can find a finite set of points $y_1, \dots, y_l \in A \cap [0, T]$ with neighborhoods $B_\delta(y_1), \dots, B_\delta(y_l)$ that cover $[0, T]$, so that for all $t \in [0, T]$ we have $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ where

$$\|u_n^{(k)}(y_i) - u_n^{(k)}(t)\|_E \leq \omega^T(u_n, \delta) \leq \omega^T(\mathcal{B}_N, \delta) < \varepsilon \quad (2.6)$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. Since $\{u_n^{(k)}(y)\}$ converges for every $y \in A$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$, there exists $N_2 > N_1$ such that for all $p, q > N_2$ and $1 \leq i \leq l$, we have

$$\|u_p^{(k)}(y_i) - u_q^{(k)}(y_i)\|_E < \varepsilon.$$

There are now two cases.

Case 1: If $t \leq T$, then there exists i_0 , such that for all $p, q > N_2$, using (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t} \|u_p^{(k)}(t) - u_q^{(k)}(t)\|_E &\leq \|u_p^{(k)}(t) - u_q^{(k)}(t)\|_E \\ &\leq \|u_p^{(k)}(t) - u_p^{(k)}(y_{i_0})\|_E + \|u_p^{(k)}(y_{i_0}) - u_q^{(k)}(y_{i_0})\|_E \\ &\quad + \|u_q^{(k)}(y_{i_0}) - u_q^{(k)}(t)\|_E \\ &< 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: If $\|x\| > T$, by (2.5), we have

$$e^{-t} \|u_p^{(k)}(t) - u_q^{(k)}(t)\|_E \leq e^{-t} (\|u_p^{(k)}(t)\|_E + \|u_q^{(k)}(t)\|_E) \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $p, q > N_2$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Thus, $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $DC^n[J, E]$ and there exists $u_0 \in DC^n[J, E]$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ and this completes the proof of the claim.

Now, since $u_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$, $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{B}_n$, and \mathcal{B}_n is closed for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$u_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}_\infty,$$

which completes the proof of 6°. □

Corollary 2.5 *The function $\omega_0 : \mathfrak{M}_{C_0^n[J, E]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a regular measure of noncompactness on $C_0^n[J, E]$.*

Proof Since $C_0^n[J, E]$ is a subspace of $DC^n[J, E]$, ω_0 defines a measure of noncompactness on $C_0^n[J, E]$. Also, it is easy to see that ω_0 satisfies conditions 7°-9°. Suppose that $\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{N}_{C_0^n[J, E]}$. Thus, the closure of \mathcal{B} in $C_0^n[J, E]$ is compact. Let us fix arbitrarily $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{B}^{(k)}|_{[0, T]}$ are bounded and equicontinuous on $[0, T]$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $T > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|u^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(s)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, $u \in \mathcal{B}$, and $t, s \in [0, T]$ such that $|t - s| \leq \delta$. Then for all $u \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\omega^T(u, \delta) = \sup \{ \|u^{(k)}(t) - u^{(k)}(s)\| : t, s \in [0, T], |t - s| < \delta, 0 \leq k \leq n \} \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \varepsilon) = \sup\{\omega^T(u, \varepsilon) : u \in \mathcal{B}\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\omega(\mathcal{B}) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega^T(\mathcal{B}, \delta) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Also, using conditions (ii) and (iii) of Corollary 2.3 implies that $d(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, $W(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, and the condition $\ker(\omega_0) = \mathfrak{N}_{C_0^k[J, E]}$ holds. \square

3 Application

In this section, we will investigate the solvability of the functional integral equation (1.1) in the space $DC^n[J, E]$. We will assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $k_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $\xi, \beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ are continuous functions such there exist two positive constants Q_1 and Q_2 such that

$$Q_1 := \sup\left\{e^{-t} \left| \int_0^\infty k_1(t, s) ds \right| : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\right\} < \infty,$$

$$Q_2 := \sup\left\{e^{-t} \left| \int_0^{\beta(t)} k_2(t, s) ds \right| : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\right\} < \infty,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} \left| \int_0^\infty k_1(t, s) ds \right| = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} \left| \int_0^{\beta(t)} k_2(t, s) ds \right| = 0.$$

- (ii) $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in E$.

- (iii) $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times E^{n+2} \rightarrow E$ is continuous and there exist two continuous functions $a_1, a_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and a nondecreasing and continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\|f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\|_E \leq a_1(t) + a_2(t)\psi\left(e^{-t} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n+2} \|x_i\|_E\right). \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, there exist two positive constants M_1 and M_2 such that

$$M_i = \sup\left\{\frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} a_i(s) ds \right| : t \in \mathbb{R}_+, 0 \leq k \leq n-1\right\} < \infty$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup\left\{e^{-t} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} a_i(s) ds \right| : i = 1, 2, 0 \leq k \leq n-1\right\} = 0.$$

- (iv) For any $r > 0$, h and g are uniformly continuous on $[0, r] \times \overline{B}_r^n$, and $f([0, r] \times \overline{B}_r^{n+2})$ is uniformly continuous and relatively compact in E .

- (v) $h, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times E^n \rightarrow E$ are continuous such that for any $r > 0$, h and g are uniformly continuous on $[0, r] \times \overline{B}_r^n$,

$$\|h(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\|_E \leq e^{-t} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \|x_i\|_E$$

and

$$\|g(t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})\|_E \leq e^{-t} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \|x_i\|_E.$$

- (vi) There exists a positive solution r_0 of the inequality

$$M_2 \psi(\max\{r, Q_1 r, Q_2 r\}) + M_1 + M_3 \leq r,$$

where $M_3 = \max\{\|u_0\|_E, \|u_1\|_E, \dots, \|u_{n-1}\|_E\}$.

Now, we will need the following lemmas later.

Lemma 3.1 Assume that h satisfies the hypothesis (v), then $H : DC^{(n-1)}[J, E] \rightarrow BC[J, E]$, defined by

$$Hx(t) = \int_0^\infty k_1(t, s) h(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(s)) ds, \quad (3.2)$$

is a continuous operator and $\|Hx\|_B \leq Q_1 \|x\|_D$.

Proof Obviously, $Hx(t)$ for any $x \in DC^{(n-1)}[J, E]$ is continuous on J , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t} \|Hx(t)\|_E &= e^{-t} \left\| \int_0^\infty k_1(t, s) h(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(s)) ds \right\|_E \\ &\leq e^{-t} \left\| \int_0^\infty k_1(t, s) e^{-s} \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \|x^{(i)}\|_E ds \right\|_E \\ &\leq Q_1 \|x\|_D. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|Hx\|_B \leq Q_1 \|x\|_D. \quad (3.3)$$

Hence $H(x) \in BC[J, E]$ and H is well defined. Now we show that H is continuous. To verify this, take $x \in DC^{n-1}[J, E]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily. Moreover, take $y \in DC^{n-1}[J, E]$ with $\|x - y\|_D < \varepsilon$. Then, considering condition (i), there exists $T > 0$ such that for $t > T$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t} \|Hx(t) - Hy(t)\|_E &\leq e^{-t} \left\| \int_0^\infty k_1(t, s) [h(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(s)) - h(s, y(s), y'(s), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(s))] ds \right\|_E \\ &\leq e^{-t} \int_0^\infty k(t, s) [\|h(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(s))\|_E \\ &\quad + \|h(s, y(s), y'(s), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(s))\|_E] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2(\|x\|_D + \varepsilon)e^{-t} \int_0^\infty k(t,s) ds \\ &\leq 2(\|x\|_D + \varepsilon)\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Also if $t \in [0, T]$, then from the first inequality in (3.4) follows that

$$e^{-t} \|Hx(t) - Hy(t)\|_E \leq Q_1 \omega_b^T(h, \varepsilon), \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b &= \|x\|_D + \varepsilon, \\ \omega_b^T(h, \varepsilon) &= \sup \{ |h(s, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) - h(s, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})| : \\ &\quad s \in [0, T], x_i, y_i \in \bar{B}_b, \|x_i - y_i\|_E \leq \varepsilon \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since h is uniformly continuous on $[0, T] \times \bar{B}_b \times \dots \times \bar{B}_b$, we have $\omega_b^T(h, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus, H is a continuous function. \square

Lemma 3.2 Assume that g satisfies the hypothesis (v), then $G : DC^{(n-1)}[J, E] \rightarrow BC[J, E]$ defined by

$$Gx(t) = \int_0^{\beta(t)} k_2(t,s)g(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-1)}(s)) ds, \quad (3.6)$$

is a continuous operator and $\|Gx\|_B \leq Q_2 \|x\|_D$.

Proof The proof is similar to Lemma 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3 Under assumptions (i)-(vi), equation (1.1) has at least one solution in the space $DC^n[J, E]$.

Proof By Taylor's formula, we have

$$u(t) = u(0) + u'(0)t + \dots + \frac{u^{(n-1)}(0)}{(n-1)!}t^{n-1} + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} u^{(n)}(s) ds$$

for all $C^n[J, E]$. Then the n th-order nonlinear integro-differential equation (1.1) has at least one solution in the space $DC^n[J, E]$ if and only if nonlinear integral equation

$$u(t) = p(t) + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) ds \quad (3.7)$$

has at least one solution in the space $DC^{(n-1)}[J, E]$ where

$$p(t) = u_0 + u_1 t + \dots + \frac{u_{n-1}}{(n-1)!} t^{n-1}.$$

Now, we define the operator $F : DC^{(n-1)}[J, E] \rightarrow DC^{(n-1)}[J, E]$ by

$$Fu(t) = p(t) + \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) ds. \quad (3.8)$$

First, notice that the continuity of $\frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t)$ for any $u \in DC^{(n-1)}[J, E]$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ is obvious. Also, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and by (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t) &= p^{(k)}(t) + \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} \\ &\quad \times f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using conditions (i)-(iv), for arbitrarily fixed $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-t} \left\| \frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t) \right\|_E \\ &\leq e^{-t} \|p^{(k)}(t)\|_E \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) ds \right\|_E \\ &\leq \|p\|_D + \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [a_1(s) \\ &\quad + a_2(s) \psi(e^{-s} \max\{\|u(s)\|_E, \|u'(s)\|_E, \dots, \|u^{(n-1)}(s)\|_E, \|Hu(s)\|_E, \|Gu(s)\|_E\})] ds \\ &\leq \|p\|_D + M_1 + M_2 \psi(\max\{\|u\|_D, Q_1\|u\|_D, Q_2\|u\|_D\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $M_3 = \|p\|_D$, we have

$$\|Fu\|_D \leq M_3 + M_1 + M_2 \psi(\max\{\|u\|_D, Q_1\|u\|_D, Q_2\|u\|_D\}) \quad (3.9)$$

and $F(u) \in DC^{(n-1)}[J, E]$ for any $u \in DC^{(n-1)}[J, E]$. Due to inequality (3.9) and using (vi), the function F maps \overline{B}_{r_0} into \overline{B}_{r_0} . Now we show that F is a continuous function on \overline{B}_{r_0} . To do this, let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take arbitrary $u, v \in \overline{B}_{r_0}$ such that $\|u - v\|_D < \varepsilon$. Then for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-t} \left\| \frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t) - \frac{d^k(Fv)}{dt^k}(t) \right\|_E \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(s, v(s), v'(s), \dots, v^{(n-1)}(s), Hv(s), Gv(s))] ds \right\|_E. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Furthermore, considering condition (iii), there exists $T > 0$ such that for $t > T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-t} \left\| \frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t) - \frac{d^k(Fv)}{dt^k}(t) \right\|_E \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(s, v(s), v'(s), \dots, v^{(n-1)}(s), Hv(s), Gv(s))] ds \right\|_E \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [\|f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s))\|_E \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \|f(s, v(s), v'(s), \dots, v^{(n-1)}(s), Hv(s), Gv(s))\|_E ds \\
 & \leq \frac{2e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [a_1(s) + a_2(s)\psi(r_0)] ds \\
 & \leq 2(\varepsilon + \psi(r_0)\varepsilon).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Now we assume that $t \in [0, T]$. By applying the assumptions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{-t} \left\| \frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t) - \frac{d^k(Fv)}{dt^k}(t) \right\|_E \\
 & \leq \frac{e^{-t} t^{n-1-k}}{(n-k-1)!} \left\| \int_0^t [f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - f(s, v(s), v'(s), \dots, v^{(n-1)}(s), Hv(s), Gv(s))] ds \right\|_E \\
 & \leq \frac{T^{n-1-k}}{(n-k-1)!} \vartheta(\varepsilon),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \vartheta(\varepsilon) = \sup \{ & \|f(t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) - f(t, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})\| : \\
 & t \in [0, T], u_i, v_i \in \overline{B}_{r_0}, \|u_i - v_i\|_E \leq \varepsilon \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since f is uniformly continuous on $[0, T] \times \overline{B}_{r_0}^n$, we have $\vartheta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus F is a continuous operator on $DC^{(n-1)}[J, E]$ into $DC^{(n-1)}[J, E]$. Now, let X be a nonempty and bounded subset of \overline{B}_{r_0} , and assume that $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ are arbitrary constants. Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$, with $|t_2 - t_1| \leq \varepsilon$, $u \in X$, and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t_1) - \frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t_2) \right\|_E \\
 & \leq \left\| p^{(k)}(t_1) + \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{n-1-k} f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - p^{(k)}(t_2) - \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{n-1-k} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) ds \right\|_E \\
 & \leq \|p^{(k)}(t_1) - p^{(k)}(t_2)\|_E \\
 & \quad + \left\| \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{n-1-k} f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^{t_2} (t_1-s)^{n-1-k} f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) ds \right\|_E \\
 & \quad + \left\| \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^{t_2} [(t_1-s)^{n-1-k} - (t_2-s)^{n-1-k}] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) ds \right\|_E
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \omega^T(p, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \left[\left| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{n-1-k} [a_1(s) + a_2(s)\psi(r_0)] ds \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{t_2} |(t_1-s)^{n-1-k} - (t_2-s)^{n-1-k}| [a_1(s) + a_2(s)\psi(r_0)] ds \right] \\ &\leq \omega^T(p, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} [\varepsilon T^n U_{r_0}^T + \phi^T(\varepsilon) T U_{r_0}^T], \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^T(\varepsilon) &= \sup \{ |(t_1-s)^{n-1-k} - (t_2-s)^{n-1-k}| : t_1, t_2, s \in [0, T], 0 \leq k \leq n-1, |t_1 - t_2| \leq \varepsilon \}, \\ U_{r_0}^T &= \sup \{ |a_1(s) + a_2(s)\psi(r_0)| : s \in [0, T] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since u was arbitrary element of X in (3.13), we obtain

$$\omega^T(F(X), \varepsilon) \leq \omega^T(p, \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} [\varepsilon T^n U_{r_0}^T + \phi^T(\varepsilon) T U_{r_0}^T]. \quad (3.14)$$

Thus, by the uniform continuity of $p^{(k)}$ and $(t-s)^{n-1-k}$ on the compact set $[0, T]$ and $[0, T]^2$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have $\omega^T(p, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and $\phi^T(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore we obtain $\omega_0^T(F(X)) = 0$ and, finally,

$$\omega_0(F(X)) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

In addition, for arbitrary $u, v \in X$, $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-t} \left\| \frac{d^k(Fu)}{dt^k}(t) - \frac{d^k(Fv)}{dt^k}(t) \right\|_E \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \left\| \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f(s, v(s), v'(s), \dots, v^{(n-1)}(s), Hv(s), Gv(s))] ds \right\|_E \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [\|f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-1)}(s), Hu(s), Gu(s))\|_E \\ &\quad + \|f(s, v(s), v'(s), \dots, v^{(n-1)}(s), Hv(s), Gv(s))\|_E] ds \\ &\leq \frac{2e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [a_1(s) + a_2(s)\psi(r_0)] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\text{diam } F(X) \leq \frac{2e^{-t}}{(n-1-k)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-k} [a_1(s) + a_2(s)\psi(r_0)] ds. \quad (3.16)$$

Taking $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the inequality (3.16), then using (iii) we arrive at

$$d(F(X)) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } F(X) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

On the other hand, by using (iv) we obtain

$$W(F(X)) = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Further, combining (3.15)-(3.18) we get

$$\omega_0(F(X)) = 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$\omega_0(F(X)) \leq \lambda \omega_0(X)$$

with $\lambda = 0$. From Theorem 1.1 we see that the operator F has a fixed point u in \overline{B}_{r_0} and thus the functional integral-differential equation (1.1) has at least one solution in $DC^n[J, E]$. \square

Example 3.1 Consider the infinite system of scalar fourth-order integro-differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_n^{(4)}(t) = & \frac{\cos^4(t)}{n^3} + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{n} \ln \left(1 + \sqrt{u_n(t^2) + u'_{n+1}(t^2) + u''_{n+3}(t^2) + u^{(3)}_{n+5}(t^2)} \right) \\ & + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{n^2} \left(\int_0^{t^2} \frac{e^{-3s} u_{2n}^{(3)}(s)}{1 + ts^2} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{2\sqrt{n}} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-2s} \sin(t-s) u'_n(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Let $J = [0, \infty)$, $J_r = [0, r]$, $E = C_0 = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots) : u_n \rightarrow 0\}$ with norm $\|u\|_E = \sup_n |u_n|$. Then the infinite system equation (3.19) can be regarded as an IVP of the form of equation (1.1) in E . In this situation, $k_1(t, s) = e^{-s} \sin(t-s)$, $k_2(t, s) = (1 + ts^2)^{-1}$, $\xi(t) = \beta(t) = t^2$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots)$, $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n, \dots)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots)$, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots)$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots)$, $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n, \dots)$, $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n, \dots)$, in which

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(t, u, v, w, x, y, z) = & \frac{\cos^4(t)}{n^3} + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{n} \ln(1 + \sqrt{u_n + v_{n+1} + w_{n+3} + x_{n+5}}) \\ & + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{n^2} \sqrt{y_{2n}} + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{2\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{z_n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h_n(s, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = e^{-3s} x_{3+2n}, \quad g_n(s, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = e^{-s} x_{1+n}.$$

It is clear that $f \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \times E^6, E)$, and $u_0 = (1, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots) \in E$, $u_1 = (0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in E$, $u_2 = (1, \dots, \frac{1}{n^2}, \dots) \in E$, $u_3 = (1, \dots, \frac{1}{n^3}, \dots) \in E$. So, condition (ii) is valid. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 = & \sup \left\{ e^{-t} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \sin(t-s) ds \right| : t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} \leq \sup \{ e^{-t} : t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \} = 1 < \infty, \\ Q_2 = & \sup \left\{ e^{-t} \left| \int_0^{\beta(t)} (1 + ts^2)^{-1} ds \right| : t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} \leq \sup \{ t^2 e^{-t} : t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \} = \frac{4}{e^2} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} \left| \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \sin(t-s) ds \right| \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} \left| \int_0^{\beta(t)} (1+ts^2)^{-1} ds \right| \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^2 e^{-t} = 0.$$

Hence, condition (i) is satisfied. Also, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(t, u, v, w, x, y, z)| &\leq \frac{\cos^4(t)}{n^3} + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{n} \ln(1 + \sqrt{\|u\|_E + \|v\|_E + \|w\|_E + \|x\|_E}) \\ &\quad + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{n^2} \sqrt{\|y\|_E} + \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{2}}}{2\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\|z\|_E} \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |h_n(s, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)| &\leq e^{-3s} \|x_3\|_E, \\ |g_n(s, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)| &\leq e^{-s} \|x_1\|_E, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, by taking $\psi(t) = 4\sqrt{t}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t, u, v, w, x, y, z)\|_E &\leq 1 + \sqrt{16e^{-t} \left[\max_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \|x_i\|_E \right]} \\ &\leq a_1(t) + a_2(t) \psi \left(e^{-t} \max_{1 \leq i \leq 6} \|x_i\|_E \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_1(t) = a_2(t) = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(s, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)\|_E &\leq e^{-s} \max_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \|x_i\|_E, \\ \|g(s, x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)\|_E &\leq e^{-s} \max_{0 \leq i \leq 3} \|x_i\|_E, \end{aligned}$$

which imply conditions (iii) and (v) are true. Now, we get

$$M_i = \sup \left\{ \frac{e^{-t}}{(3-k)!} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{3-k} ds \right| : t \in \mathbb{R}_+, 0 \leq k \leq 3 \right\} = \frac{1}{e} < \infty$$

for $i = 1, 2$,

$$M_3 = \max \{ \|u_0\|_E, \|u_1\|_E, \|u_2\|_E, \|u_3\|_E \} = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{ e^{-t} \left| \int_0^t (t-s)^{3-k} ds \right| : 0 \leq k \leq 3 \right\} = 0.$$

It can readily be seen that each number $r \geq 5$ satisfies the inequality in condition (vi), *i.e.*,

$$M_2 \psi(\max\{r, Q_1 r, Q_2 r\}) + M_1 + M_3 = \frac{4}{e} \sqrt{r} + \frac{1}{e} + 1 \leq r.$$

Thus, as the number r_0 we can take $r_0 = 5$. Finally, we check condition (iv). Let $r > 0$ be arbitrarily given. It is clear that f is uniformly continuous on $J_r \times \overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_r$. Let $\{t^{(m)}\} \subset J_r$, $\{u^{(m)}\} \subset \overline{B}_r$, $\{v^{(m)}\} \subset \overline{B}_r$, $\{w^{(m)}\} \subset \overline{B}_r$, $\{x^{(m)}\} \subset \overline{B}_r$, $\{y^{(m)}\} \subset \overline{B}_r$, $\{z^{(m)}\} \subset \overline{B}_r$. By virtue of (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_n(t^{(m)}, u^{(m)}, v^{(m)}, w^{(m)}, x^{(m)}, y^{(m)}, z^{(m)})| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{1}{n} \ln(1 + \sqrt{4r}) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sqrt{r} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{r} \quad (n, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

therefore, $|f_n(t^{(m)}, u^{(m)}, v^{(m)}, w^{(m)}, x^{(m)}, y^{(m)}, z^{(m)})|$ is bounded, and so, by a diagonal method, we can choose a subsequence $\{m_i\} \subset \{m\}$ such that

$$|f_n(t^{(m_i)}, u^{(m_i)}, v^{(m_i)}, w^{(m_i)}, x^{(m_i)}, y^{(m_i)}, z^{(m_i)})| \rightarrow d_n \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (3.22)$$

Now, (3.21) and (3.22) imply

$$|d_n| \leq \frac{1}{n^3} + \frac{1}{n} \ln(1 + \sqrt{4r}) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sqrt{r} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{r} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (3.23)$$

so $d = (d_1, \dots, d_n, \dots) \in C_0 = E$, and it is easy to see from (3.21)-(3.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(t^{(m_i)}, u^{(m_i)}, v^{(m_i)}, w^{(m_i)}, x^{(m_i)}, y^{(m_i)}, z^{(m_i)}) - d\|_E \\ & = \sup_n \{|f_n(t^{(m_i)}, u^{(m_i)}, v^{(m_i)}, w^{(m_i)}, x^{(m_i)}, y^{(m_i)}, z^{(m_i)}) - d_n|\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that $f(J_r \times \overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_r \times \overline{B}_r)$ is relatively compact in E . Also, it is clear that h and g are uniformly continuous on $J_r \times \overline{B}_r^n$, and condition (iv) is satisfied. Consequently, all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Hence equation (3.19) has at least one solution belonging to the ball \overline{B}_5 in the space $DC^n[J, E]$.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran. ²Department of Mathematics, Sari Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sari, Iran.

Received: 14 September 2015 Accepted: 24 November 2015 Published online: 15 December 2015

References

- Bloom, F: Asymptotic bounds for solutions to a system of damped integro-differential equations of electromagnetic theory. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **73**, 524-542 (1980)
- Forbes, LK, Crozier, S, Doddrell, DM: Calculating current densities and fields produced by shielded magnetic resonance imaging probes. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **57**, 401-425 (1997)
- Holmaker, K: Global asymptotic stability for a stationary solution of a system of integro-differential equations describing the formation of liver zones. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **24**, 116-128 (1993)
- Kanwal, RP: *Linear Integral Differential Equations: Theory and Technique*. Academic Press, New York (1971)
- Guo, D: Initial value problems for second-order integro-differential equations in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **37**, 289-300 (1999)
- Hao, Z, Liu, L: Global solutions of initial value problems for nonlinear integro-differential equations of mixed type in Banach space. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **33**(9), 1417-1430 (2002)
- Liu, L: Iterative method for solutions and coupled quasi-solutions of nonlinear integro-differential equations of mixed type in Banach spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **42**, 583-598 (2000)

8. Berenguer, MI, Gámez, D, López Linares, AJ: Fixed point techniques and Schauder bases to approximate the solution of the first order nonlinear mixed Fredholm-Volterra integro-differential equation. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **252**, 52-61 (2013)
9. Berenguer, MI, Garralda-Guillem, AI, Ruiz Galán, M: An approximation method for solving systems of Volterra integro-differential equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **67**, 126-135 (2013)
10. Chistyakova, EV: Regularizing properties of difference schemes for singular integral-differential equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **62**, 1302-1311 (2012)
11. Saberi-Nadjafi, J, Tamamgar, M: The variational iteration method: a highly promising method for solving the system of integro differential equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **56**, 346-351 (2008)
12. Biazar, J, Aminikhah, H: A new technique for solving nonlinear integral-differential equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **58**, 2084-2090 (2009)
13. Agarwal, RP, Benchohra, M, Seba, D: On the application of measure of noncompactness to the existence of solutions for fractional differential equations. *Results Math.* **55**, 221-230 (2009)
14. Aghajani, A, Banaś, J, Jalilian, Y: Existence of solutions for a class of nonlinear Volterra singular integral equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62**, 1215-1227 (2011)
15. Aghajani, A, Jalilian, Y: Existence and global attractivity of solutions of a nonlinear functional integral equation. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **15**, 3306-3312 (2010)
16. Banaś, J: Measures of noncompactness in the study of solutions of nonlinear differential and integral equations. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **10**(6), 2003-2011 (2012)
17. Banaś, J, Goebel, K: Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces. *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 60. Dekker, New York (1980)
18. Banaś, J, O'Regan, D, Sadarangani, K: On solutions of a quadratic Hammerstein integral equation on an unbounded interval. *Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **18**, 251-264 (2009)
19. Banaś, J, Rzepka, R: An application of a measure of noncompactness in the study of asymptotic stability. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **16**, 1-6 (2003)
20. Darwish, MA: On monotonic solutions of a quadratic integral equation with supremum. *Dyn. Syst. Appl.* **17**, 539-550 (2008)
21. Darwish, MA, Henderson, J, O'Regan, D: Existence and asymptotic stability of solutions of a perturbed fractional functional-integral equation with linear modification of the argument. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **48**, 539-553 (2011)
22. Mursaleen, M, Mohiuddine, SA: Applications of noncompactness to the infinite system of differential equations in l_p spaces. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl.* **75**(4), 2111-2115 (2012)
23. Olszowy, L: Solvability of infinite systems of singular integral equations in Fréchet space of continuous functions. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 2794-2801 (2010)
24. Pachpatte, BG: On Fredholm type integral-differential equation. *Tamkang J. Math.* **39**, 85-94 (2008)
25. Su, H, Liu, L, Zhang, X, Wu, Y: Global solutions of initial value problems for nonlinear second-order integro-differential equations of mixed type in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **330**, 1139-1151 (2007)
26. Hanche-Olsen, H, Holden, H: The Kolmogorov-Riesz compactness theorem. *Expo. Math.* **28**, 385-394 (2010)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com